

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/825,142	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BROWN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Joseph D. Torres	<b>Art Unit</b> 2112	

**All Participants:**

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Wayne P. Bailey.

**Status of Application:** Appeal

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Independent claims*

Claims discussed:

*1-39*

Prior art documents discussed:

*All*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner suggested that the independent claims be amended to include "verification of a checksum is unnecessary if the data packet arrived over a physical network adapter that supports checksum offload and the checksum is verified to be good" to set forth the context of the invention. The Attorney agreed.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

